

Preface	xxxiii
Acknowledgments	xxxix
Editorial Note	xli
The Constitution of the United States	xliii
PART I INTRODUCTION: BACKGROUND TO THE CONSTITUTION	1
Note: The “Constitution of Conversation” and the “Constitution of Settlement”	8
Chapter 1 The Bank of the United States: A Case Study	11
I. Early Background	11
II. The First Bank of the United States	12
A. The Wilsonian View	13
B. Madison’s View [James Madison’s Speech to the House of Representatives (1791)]	14
C. The Attorney General’s Opinion	19
D. Jefferson’s Critique of the Bank	20
E. Hamilton’s Defense	21
Alexander Hamilton, Opinion on the Constitutionality of an Act to Establish a Bank (1791)	21
Discussion	23
III. The Second Bank	23
IV. Judicial Examination of Congress’s Authority to Create the Bank	25
Note on Reading and Editing Cases	25
<i>McCulloch v. Maryland</i> [The First Question]	26
A. The Reaction to <i>McCulloch</i>	40
B. Marshall’s Methods of Constitutional Interpretation	43
Note: Uncertainties of Meaning	50
1. Ambiguity	50
2. Vagueness	51
3. Nonliteral Usage	52
	xi

V. The States' Power to Tax the Bank of the United States	54
<i>McCulloch v. Maryland</i> [The Second Question]	54
Discussion	59
VI. Ohio Dissents	62
VII. The Demise of the Second Bank	64
Andrew Jackson, Veto Message (July 10, 1832)	65
Discussion	68
Walter Dellinger, Presidential Authority to Decline to Execute Unconstitutional Statutes (November 2, 1994)	70
Discussion	72
Chapter 2 The Constitution in the Early Republic	73
I. The Constitution During the Washington Administration	73
II. Early Struggles over State and National Sovereignty: <i>Chisholm v. Georgia</i> and the Eleventh Amendment	75
<i>Chisholm v. Georgia</i>	76
Note: The Eleventh Amendment	79
III. The Alien and Sedition Acts and the Kentucky and Virginia Resolutions of 1798	80
A. The Alien Act of 1798	80
B. The Sedition Act	82
1. The Meaning of the First Amendment	83
2. The Original Understanding	84
3. The Republican Response	87
Discussion	89
C. The Kentucky and Virginia Resolutions of 1798 and the Doctrine of Nullification	90
1. Nullification and Interposition	90
2. The Nullification Crisis and the "Tariff of Abominations"	94
Discussion	97
Note: Judicial Review Before <i>Marbury</i> : The Supreme Court in Its Early Years	99
IV. The Election of 1800	103
V. Early Political Struggles over the Federal Judiciary	106
A. <i>Stuart v. Laird</i> and the Elimination of the Intermediate Appellate Judiciary	109
<i>Stuart v. Laird</i>	109
Discussion	110

Contents	xiii
B. <i>Marbury</i> and Judicial Review of Legislation	111
<i>Marbury v. Madison</i>	111
Discussion	126
C. Limitations on Judicial Power	132
1. Jurisdiction Stripping	132
2. Standing	134
3. Political Questions	135
D. Judicial Review in a Democratic Polity	137
1. The Countermajoritarian Difficulty	137
2. Justifications for Judicial Review	138
a. Supervising Inter- and Intra-governmental Relations	138
b. Preserving Fundamental Values	141
c. Protecting the Integrity of Democratic Processes	142
3. The Countermajoritarian Difficulty Challenged	143
a. The Countermajoritarian Features of the Political Branches	143
b. The “Majoritarian” Aspects of Judicial Review	145
Note: Judicial “Good Behavior” and Lifetime Tenure	147
VI. The Louisiana Purchase	148
Discussion	150
Note: The “Marshall Court”	151
Note: Limiting the President’s Power as Commander-in-Chief	152
Discussion	154
VII. The Protection of Property Rights and the Natural Law Tradition	154
<i>Fletcher v. Peck</i>	154
Discussion	157
Note: Natural Law, Vested Rights, and the Written Constitution: Sources for Judicial Review	160
1. The Natural Law Tradition in America	161
2. The Judicial Protection of Vested Rights	163
<i>Calder v. Bull</i>	164
Discussion	165
3. The Explicit Federal Constitutional Protection of Rights	166
4. The Ninth Amendment	167
Note: Is Constitutional Law a Comedy or a Tragedy?	169
Discussion	171
VIII. American Indians and the American Political Community	172
Discussion	176
IX. Women’s Citizenship in the Antebellum Era	177
Discussion	182

X. Regulation of the Interstate Economy	183
<i>Gibbons v. Ogden</i>	183
Discussion	191
Note: Federal Preemption	197
<i>Arizona v. United States</i>	197
Note: Language, Purpose, and Meaning	198
1. Language and Purpose	198
2. Discovering the Adopters' Purposes	201
XI. The "General Welfare"	206
A. The Spending Clause and Disaster Relief	206
B. Internal Improvements	207
James Madison, Veto Message (March 3, 1817)	208
James K. Polk, Veto Message (December 15, 1847)	210
Discussion	214
Chapter 3 Are We a Nation? The Jacksonian Era to the Civil War, 1835-1865	215
I. Interstate and Foreign Commerce and Personal Mobility	221
A. The States' "Police Powers" as a Constraint on the National Commerce Power	221
<i>Mayor of the City of New York v. Miln</i>	222
Discussion	229
B. The <i>Cooley</i> Accommodation	235
<i>Cooley v. Board of Wardens</i>	235
Discussion	237
Note on Congressional Consent	238
C. The Privileges and Immunities of State Citizenship and Personal Mobility Among the States	240
1. The Privileges and Immunities Clause of Article IV	240
<i>Corfield v. Coryell</i>	241
Discussion	242
2. Interstate Mobility	242
<i>Crandall v. Nevada</i>	242
Discussion	244
II. Slavery	245
A. The Interstate Slave Trade	245
<i>Groves v. Slaughter</i>	245
Note: The United States Mail and American Pluralism	248

Contents	xv
B. Fugitive Slaves	251
<i>Prigg v. Pennsylvania</i>	251
Discussion	259
Note: The Rights of Free Black People Before the Civil War	261
C. Prelude to Secession	263
<i>Dred Scott v. Sandford</i>	266
Discussion	283
Frederick Douglass, The Constitution of the United States: Is It Pro-Slavery or Anti-Slavery?	292
Discussion	296
D. Judicial Supremacy and <i>Dred Scott</i> : The Lincoln–Douglas Debates	297
Discussion	299
III. “And the War Came”: The President as Commander-in-Chief and the Preservation of the Union	301
A. The Debate over Secession	301
1. President James Buchanan Opposes Both Secession and War	301
2. South Carolina Justifies Its Secession	302
Declaration of the Immediate Causes Which Induce and Justify the Secession of South Carolina from the Federal Union (December 24, 1860)	303
3. Judah Benjamin Defends Secession	304
4. Jefferson Davis Takes the Helm of the Confederate States of America	305
5. Lincoln Responds and Acts	306
Discussion	307
<i>Texas v. White</i>	310
Note: The Confederate Constitution	311
Discussion	313
B. The Authority of the President to Repel Attacks on the Union	313
<i>Prize Cases</i>	313
Discussion	316
C. Lincoln and the Suspension of Habeas Corpus	318
1. Chief Justice Taney on the Exclusive Authority of Congress	319
<i>Ex parte Merryman</i>	320
2. The President Asserts Executive Authority	321
Discussion	322
D. Lincoln: The Great Emancipator	323
Note: Former Justice Curtis Dissents	324
Discussion	326

Note: “Reverence for Law”	328
Discussion	329
Note: The Gettysburg Address as Constitutional Interpretation	331
The Gettysburg Address (November 19, 1863)	331
Discussion	331
E. The Use of Military Tribunals as an Alternative to Trial by Jury	332
<i>Ex parte Milligan</i>	333
Discussion	335
F. The Legal Tender Cases and the Constitutionality of Paper Money	337
Discussion	344
Chapter 4 From Reconstruction to the New Deal: 1866-1934	347
I. The Reconstruction Constitution	347
A. History of the Adoption of the Reconstruction Amendments	347
1. The Thirteenth Amendment	347
Discussion	349
2. The Civil Rights Act of 1866	350
3. The Fourteenth Amendment	354
Senator Jacob Howard, Speech Introducing the Fourteenth Amendment (May 23, 1866)	357
Discussion	361
<i>United States v. Hall</i>	367
Discussion	369
Note: The Unusual Procedural History of the Fourteenth Amendment	369
Discussion	373
4. The Fifteenth Amendment	378
Note: When Did the Civil War End?	379
B. The Fourteenth Amendment Limited	381
<i>The Slaughterhouse Cases</i>	382
Discussion	392
<i>United States v. Cruikshank</i>	398
Discussion	399
<i>Bradwell v. Illinois</i>	399
Discussion	401
Note: The “New Departure” and Women’s Place in the Constitutional Order	402
<i>Minor v. Happersett</i>	405
Discussion	407

Contents	xvii
Note: The Fourteenth Amendment, Birthright Citizenship, and American Indians	408
<i>Elk v. Wilkins</i>	409
Note: “The Riddle of Hiram Revels”	412
Discussion	413
C. Early Application of the Fourteenth Amendment to Race Discrimination	413
<i>Strauder v. West Virginia</i>	413
Discussion	417
C. Vann Woodward, The Strange Career of Jim Crow	420
D. Creation of the State Action Doctrine	421
<i>The Civil Rights Cases</i>	421
Discussion	432
E. Establishment of the “Separate but Equal” Doctrine	436
<i>Plessy v. Ferguson</i>	436
Discussion	443
Charles Black, The Lawfulness of the Segregation Decisions	449
Note: The Spirit of <i>Plessy</i> and Black Disenfranchisement	450
<i>Buchanan v. Warley</i>	451
Discussion	452
Note: Black Disenfranchisement	453
Richard H. Pildes, Democracy, Anti-Democracy, and the Canon	454
<i>Giles v. Harris</i>	455
Discussion	456
II. Creating an “American” Nation	456
A. American Expansionism, Race, Ethnicity, and the Constitution	456
<i>Downes v. Bidwell</i>	456
Discussion	462
B. Ethnic Diversity and the Constitution: The Case of Chinese Immigration	464
<i>Chae Chan Ping v. United States</i>	464
Discussion	470
III. The Rise of the Modern Industrial Order and the Protection of Economic Rights	472
A. Economic Disorder and Emergency Powers	472
<i>In re Debs</i>	473
Discussion	476
B. The Rise of Due Process Protection Against State Economic Regulation	476
C. The Application of the Bill of Rights to the States	481
Discussion	484

D. The Heyday of Police Power Jurisprudence, 1890-1934	485
<i>Lochner v. New York</i>	485
1. The Idea of Police Power Jurisprudence	491
2. The Meanings of “Liberty,” “Property,” and “Due Process”	492
3. The Scope of the Police Power: Permissible and Impermissible Objectives	494
<i>Coppage v. Kansas</i>	494
<i>Jacobson v. Massachusetts</i>	496
<i>Muller v. Oregon</i>	497
4. Burdens of Proof and Questions of Degree	498
5. Laissez Faire, Lawyers, and Legal Scholarship	499
6. A Survey of the Court’s Work	502
<i>Adkins v. Children’s Hospital</i>	504
E. Freedom of Contract and the Problem of “Involuntary Servitude”	505
IV. Congressional Regulation of Interstate Commerce and of the National Economy	510
A. The Commerce Power	510
<i>Champion v. Ames</i> [The Lottery Case]	512
Discussion	516
<i>Hammer v. Dagenhart</i>	517
Discussion	521
Note: On “Prisoner’s Dilemmas” and Centralized Coordination	522
Note: Binary Oppositions and Congressional Ability to Invoke Its Power Under the Commerce Clause	524
B. The Taxing Power	526
<i>Bailey v. Drexel Furniture Co.</i> (The Child Labor Tax Case)	526
C. The Spending Power	528
Discussion	530
D. The Treaty Power	531
<i>Missouri v. Holland</i>	531
E. The Eleventh Amendment and State Sovereign Immunity	535
<i>Hans v. Louisiana</i>	535
Discussion	536
V. “When a Nation Is at War”: World War I and the First Amendment	537
Discussion	541
Note: Further Questions on the Constitution and “Emergency Power” During Time of War	543
VI. Constitutional Innovation During the Progressive Period	544
A. The Sixteenth Amendment	545

Contents	xix
B. The Seventeenth Amendment	546
C. The Eighteenth Amendment	546
D. The Nineteenth Amendment	547
E. Constitutional Limits on Article V?	550
1. Time Limits	551
Discussion	553
2. Are There Substantive Limits to Constitutional Amendment?	553
PART II CONSTITUTIONAL ADJUDICATION IN THE MODERN WORLD	557
The Evolution of the Bill of Rights and Its “Incorporation” Against the States	558
Chapter 5 The New Deal and the Civil Rights Era	569
I. The Decline of Judicial Intervention Against Economic Regulation	569
A. 1934	569
<i>Home Building & Loan Association v. Blaisdell</i>	
[The Minnesota Mortgage Moratorium Case]	571
Discussion	579
B. 1935-1937	581
<i>West Coast Hotel Co. v. Parrish</i>	582
C. The Modern Doctrine of Economic Due Process	584
<i>United States v. Carolene Products Co.</i>	584
Discussion	587
<i>Williamson v. Lee Optical Co.</i>	592
Discussion	595
Appendix to Chapter 5 (online)	5-1
D. Modern Contract Clause Doctrine	5-1
Discussion	5-4
E. Modern Takings Clause Doctrine	5-5
Jed Rubinfeld, Usings	5-5
Discussion	5-12
II. The Creation of the New Deal Settlement and the Relaxation of Judicial Constraints on Congressional Power	600
A. 1935-1936—The Supreme Court Confronts Roosevelt over Federal Power	600
<i>Schechter Poultry Corp. v. United States</i>	601
<i>Carter v. Carter Coal Co.</i>	602

Discussion	606
<i>United States v. Butler</i>	607
Discussion	610
Franklin D. Roosevelt, “Fireside Chat” (March 9, 1937)	612
Discussion	615
<i>NLRB v. Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp.</i>	616
Discussion	617
Franklin D. Roosevelt, Address on Constitution Day, Washington, D.C. (September 17, 1937)	618
Discussion	620
B. The Emergence of Modern Commerce Clause Doctrine	620
<i>United States v. Darby</i>	621
<i>Wickard v. Filburn</i>	623
Discussion	624
Note: On Constitutional Revolution	626
C. The Taxing and Spending Power	628
<i>Steward Machine Company v. Davis</i>	629
Discussion	631
III. National Power in the Civil Rights Era	631
A. The 1960s Civil Rights Legislation: Commerce Power or Reconstruction Power?	631
1. The Civil Rights Movement and the Civil Rights Act of 1964	631
Discussion	637
2. Congressional Power to Pass the Civil Rights Bill	637
<i>Heart of Atlanta Motel v. United States</i>	640
<i>Katzenbach v. McClung</i>	640
Discussion	642
B. The Reconstruction Power in the Civil Rights Era	644
Note: The Voting Rights Act of 1965	646
Lyndon B. Johnson, Special Message to the Congress: The American Promise (March 15, 1965)	647
Discussion	650
<i>South Carolina v. Katzenbach</i>	651
<i>Katzenbach v. Morgan</i>	655
Discussion	661
<i>Jones v. Alfred H. Mayer Co.</i>	664
<i>Oregon v. Mitchell</i>	667

Contents	xxi
Chapter 6 Federalism, Separation of Powers, and National Security in the Modern Era	671
I. Judicial Constraints on Congressional Power	671
A. The Rehnquist and Roberts Courts: Finding Limits on Federal Power	671
1. The Commerce Power	673
<i>United States v. Lopez</i>	673
Discussion	687
<i>National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius</i> [The Health Care Case]	700
Discussion	709
<i>United States v. Comstock</i>	713
Discussion	716
2. The Taxing Power	718
<i>National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius</i> [The Health Care Case]	718
Discussion	724
3. The Spending Power	727
<i>National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius</i> [The Health Care Case]	730
Discussion	741
4. The Treaty Power	743
<i>Bond v. United States</i>	743
Discussion	745
5. The Reconstruction Power	747
<i>City of Boerne v. Flores</i>	748
Discussion	758
Akhil Reed Amar, Intratextualism	761
<i>United States v. Morrison</i>	763
Discussion	766
<i>Board of Trustees of the University of Alabama v. Garrett</i>	769
Discussion	773
<i>Shelby County, Alabama v. Holder</i>	776
Discussion	796
<i>Brnovich v. Democratic National Committee</i>	801
II. Affirmative Limits on Congressional Regulations of State Governments	805
A. From the Hughes Court to the Burger Court: Practically No Limits?	805
<i>National League of Cities v. Usery</i>	806

<i>Garcia v. San Antonio Metropolitan Transit Authority</i>	807
Discussion	811
B. The Rehnquist Court: Finding Affirmative Limits	813
<i>Gregory v. Ashcroft</i>	815
Discussion	818
<i>New York v. United States</i>	819
Discussion	830
Saikrishna Bangalore Prakash, Field Office Federalism	833
<i>Printz v. United States</i>	835
Discussion	847
Note: State Sovereign Immunity	848
Discussion	851
Note: Cooperative (and Uncooperative) Federalism	854
III. Interstate Federalism and the National Economy	860
A. Dormant Commerce Clause	861
1. Burdensome Laws: The Development of a Balancing Test	862
2. Facially Discriminatory Laws: The “Per Se Invalidity” Test	863
3. The Market Participant Exception	864
4. General Theories of Dormant Commerce	865
B. Interstate Privileges and Immunities	866
IV. The Executive Power of the United States	868
A. The (Non)Prosecution Power	868
<i>United States v. Cox</i>	869
Discussion	880
<i>United States v. Nixon, President of the United States</i>	882
Discussion	888
<i>Trump v. Vance</i>	893
Discussion	908
Note: Congressional Oversight	909
<i>Trump v. Mazars USA, LLP</i>	913
Discussion	921
B. The Appointment Power	924
<i>Morrison v. Olson</i>	925
Discussion	938
<i>Edmond v. United States</i>	944
Discussion	947
<i>United States v. Arthrex, Inc.</i>	949
<i>Seila Law LLC v. Consumer Financial Protection Bureau</i>	955
Discussion	972

Contents	xxiii
<i>National Labor Relations Board v. Noel Canning</i>	980
Discussion	985
C. The Veto Power	990
<i>Immigration and Naturalization Service v. Chadha</i>	991
Discussion	1007
D. The Power of the Sword	1015
1. Emergency Power During Wartime	1015
Executive Order: Directing the Secretary of Commerce to Take Possession of and Operate the Plants and Facilities of Certain Steel Companies	1016
<i>Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co. v. Sawyer</i>	1019
Discussion	1032
Note: The Power to Wage War	1037
2. Executive Detention	1046
<i>Hamdi v. Rumsfeld</i>	1046
Discussion	1061
3. Military Tribunals	1065
4. Habeas Corpus for the Guantanamo Detainees	1067
<i>Boumediene v. Bush</i>	1068
Discussion	1069
5. Torture and Presidential Power	1072
Discussion	1075
6. Targeted Killings	1076
7. Diplomatic Recognition	1079
<i>Zivotofsky v. Kerry</i>	1079
Discussion	1087
E. Presidential Privileges and Immunities	1090
F. Presidential Selection	1094
Appendix to Chapter 6 (online)	6-1
Note: The Emoluments Clauses	6-1
Discussion	6-4
Note: Presidential Impeachment	6-11
Chapter 7 Race and the Equal Protection Clause	1099
I. Brown v. Board of Education and the Constitutional Struggle over Desegregation	1099
A. Background to the School Desegregation Case	1099
Note: <i>Brown</i> and the Cold War	1101

B. The School Desegregation Case	1105
<i>Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka, Kansas</i>	1105
<i>Bolling v. Sharpe</i>	1108
Discussion	1109
Note: A “Dissent” from <i>Brown</i>	1112
Discussion	1113
Note: <i>Brown</i> and the Original Understanding	1114
Discussion	1116
C. <i>Brown</i> ’s Legacy, Fulfilled or Betrayed? Four Decades of School Desegregation	1121
1. <i>Brown II</i> and “All Deliberate Speed”	1121
<i>Brown v. Board of Education (Brown II)</i>	1121
2. “Massive Resistance” to School Desegregation	1122
3. The Political Branches Respond: 1964-1968	1123
4. The Supreme Court Reasserts Itself	1124
5. <i>Swann</i> and Metropolitan Segregation in the South	1125
6. School Segregation in the North—The Court Confronts the De Jure/De Facto Distinction	1126
7. The Turning Point—Inter-District Relief	1129
8. An Era of Retrenchment	1131
<i>Missouri v. Jenkins (Jenkins II)</i>	1132
Discussion	1134
II. The Antidiscrimination Principle and the “Suspect Classification” Standard	1136
A. The Origins of the Suspect Classification Doctrine	1136
1. The Japanese Internment Case	1136
<i>Korematsu v. United States</i>	1136
Discussion	1141
<i>Oyama v. California</i>	1143
Discussion	1144
2. The Court Strikes Down Antimiscegenation Statutes	1144
<i>Loving v. Virginia</i>	1146
Discussion	1149
B. The Reach of the Suspect Classification Doctrine	1157
1. Racial Segregation in Prisons	1157
<i>Johnson v. California</i>	1157
2. Family Formation	1158
a. Child Custody Decisions Following Divorce	1158
b. Race-Matching Policies in Adoption	1159

Contents	xxv
3. Government Collection and Use of Racial Data	1161
Discussion	1162
Note: Four Concepts of “Race”: Status-Based, Formal, Historical, and Cultural	1163
C. When Is a Decision Made “on the Basis of” Race?	1165
1. Early Cases	1166
<i>Yick Wo v. Hopkins</i>	1166
<i>Ho Ah Kow v. Nunan</i>	1167
2. Brown v. Board of Education and Desegregation	1168
3. The Interplay Between the Fourteenth Amendment and the Civil Rights Acts	1170
<i>Griggs v. Duke Power Co.</i>	1170
Discussion	1172
4. The Court Separates the Fourteenth Amendment from the Civil Rights Acts	1174
<i>Washington v. Davis</i>	1175
<i>Village of Arlington Heights v. Metropolitan Housing Development Corp.</i>	1179
<i>Personnel Administrator of Massachusetts v. Feeney</i>	1180
Discussion	1181
Note: Commentaries on the Intent Standard	1187
Discussion	1190
5. The Future of Disparate Impact Legislation	1193
<i>Ricci v. DeStefano</i>	1193
Discussion	1196
D. Race and the Criminal Justice System	1199
1. The War on Drugs and the Powder Cocaine/Crack Cocaine Distinction	1199
<i>United States v. Clary</i>	1199
Discussion	1201
2. Administering Death	1203
<i>McCleskey v. Kemp</i>	1203
Discussion	1208
3. Suspect Descriptions	1209
<i>Brown v. City of Oneonta</i>	1209
Discussion	1210
Note: Racial Profiling and the Equal Protection Clause	1211
E. “Preferential” Treatment for Racial Minorities	1215
1. The Central Issues	1215

2. The Early Cases	1217
<i>Regents of the University of California v. Bakke</i>	1217
3. Affirmative Action from <i>Bakke</i> to <i>Croson</i>	1222
4. Affirmative Action in the Rehnquist Court	1224
<i>City of Richmond v. J.A. Croson Co.</i>	1225
Discussion	1236
<i>Adarand Constructors v. Pena</i>	1240
Note: Originalism and Affirmative Action	1242
5. The Court Reaffirms <i>Bakke</i>	1247
<i>Grutter v. Bollinger</i>	1248
<i>Gratz v. Bollinger</i>	1266
Discussion	1269
6. The Roberts Court Interprets <i>Brown</i> in Light of Affirmative Action	1273
<i>Parents Involved in Community Schools v. Seattle School District No. 1</i>	1273
Discussion	1300
<i>Fisher v. University of Texas at Austin [Fisher II]</i>	1303
Discussion	1304
Note: Racial Redistricting and the Equal Protection Clause	1305
F. Citizenship and Alienage Under the Equal Protection Clause	1306
1. The Early Interplay of Race and Alienage	1306
2. Regulation of Aliens by State Governments	1309
<i>Graham v. Richardson</i>	1309
<i>Bernal v. Fainter</i>	1312
Discussion	1319
3. Regulation of Resident Aliens by the Federal Government	1322
Discussion	1323
Appendix to Chapter 7 (online)	7-1
<i>Trump v. Hawaii</i>	7-1
Discussion	7-17
Chapter 8 Sex Equality	1327
I. The Sex Equality Claims Under the Fourteenth Amendment: Social Movements and Constitutional Change	1327
A. The Fourteenth Amendment's First Century	1327
B. Movement Roots of Modern Sex Discrimination Law	1330
<i>Frontiero v. Richardson</i>	1336

Contents	xxvii
Discussion	1342
Note: Reasoning from Race in <i>Frontiero</i> and Beyond	1345
Note: The Equal Rights Amendment	1346
Discussion	1349
Note: The Nineteenth Amendment	1354
II. What Does Intermediate Scrutiny Prohibit?	1357
A. Intermediate Scrutiny, Sex Stereotyping, and Laws Enforcing Breadwinner/Caregiver Roles	1357
Note: On Sex, Gender, and Sexual Orientation	1363
B. Intermediate Scrutiny and the Race-Gender Analogy	1365
<i>United States v. Virginia</i> [The VMI Case]	1366
Discussion	1381
Note: Intermediate Scrutiny and Single-Sex Education	1384
Note: Affirmative Action and Intermediate Scrutiny	1389
C. Intermediate Scrutiny and Claims of Sex Difference: Pregnancy as a Justification for Sex-Differentiated Treatment of Men and Women	1390
<i>Tuan Anh Nguyen v. INS</i>	1393
Discussion	1397
<i>Sessions v. Morales-Santana</i>	1399
Discussion	1401
III. Distinguishing Sex-Based and Sex-Neutral Policies: Evolving Perspectives on Pregnancy	1402
A. Criteria for Distinguishing Sex-Based and Sex-Neutral Policies	1402
<i>Personnel Administrator of Massachusetts v. Feeney</i>	1403
Discussion	1409
B. Judicial and Legislative Perspectives on Pregnancy and Sex Equality: Alternative Understandings	1412
1. Classifications on the Basis of Sex and Pregnancy	1412
Discussion	1413
Note: Abortion and Equal Protection	1416
2. Congress and the Court: Evolving Understandings of Pregnancy	1419
<i>Nevada Department of Human Resources v. Hibbs</i>	1420
Discussion	1422
IV. Gender in the Military: Constitutional Change Outside the Courts	1428
A. A Brief History of Women in the Military: The Creation and Erosion of “Combat Exclusion” Rules	1428
B. The End of the Combat Exclusion?	1431
C. <i>Rostker</i> and the Constitutionality of Gender-Based Conscription	1433
Discussion	1436

V. Other Suspect Bases of Classification: Thinking Outside the “Tiers of Scrutiny” Model	1438
<i>City of Cleburne, Texas v. Cleburne Living Center</i>	1442
Discussion	1452
Note: Accommodation as a Norm—The Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990	1454
Chapter 9 Liberty, Equality, and Fundamental Rights: The Constitution, the Family, and the Body	1455
I. Historical Roots of Fundamental Rights Adjudication	1456
A. Doctrinal Antecedents	1456
B. Popular and Philosophical Debate About the Criminalization of Sex	1459
II. Contemporary Fundamental Rights Adjudication	1461
A. The Contraception Case	1461
<i>Griswold v. Connecticut</i>	1461
Discussion	1474
Note: The Reach of <i>Griswold</i>	1482
<i>Eisenstadt v. Baird</i>	1482
Note: Tradition as a Source of Fundamental Rights	1485
Jack M. Balkin, Tradition, Betrayal, and the Politics of Deconstruction	1490
Discussion	1492
III. Reproductive Rights and Abortion	1494
A. The Decision in <i>Roe v. Wade</i>	1494
<i>Roe v. Wade</i>	1497
<i>Doe v. Bolton</i>	1506
Discussion	1507
Note: Did <i>Roe</i> Cause the Abortion Conflict?	1509
Discussion	1512
B. Abortion and the Equal Protection Clause	1513
Reva Siegel, Reasoning from the Body: A Historical Perspective on Abortion Regulation and Questions of Equal Protection	1515
Discussion	1517
C. Decisions After <i>Roe</i>	1520
<i>Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey</i>	1528
Discussion	1555
D. Abortion Restrictions After <i>Casey</i>	1559
<i>Gonzales v. Carhart</i> [<i>Carhart II</i>]	1559
Discussion	1564

Contents	xxix
Note: Absolute and Incremental Restrictions on Abortion	1567
1. Absolute Restrictions	1568
2. The Incremental Approach	1568
Note: Health Regulations after <i>Casey</i>	1573
<i>Whole Woman’s Health v. Hellerstedt</i>	1574
Discussion	1577
<i>June Medical Services L.L.C. v. Russo</i>	1579
Discussion	1580
IV. Sexuality and Sexual Orientation	1582
A. Sexual Orientation: Liberty and Equality	1583
1. The Court’s First Ruling on Laws Criminalizing Sodomy	1585
<i>Bowers v. Hardwick</i>	1586
Discussion	1592
2. <i>Bowers</i> Blunted, But Not Reversed	1595
<i>Romer v. Evans</i>	1596
Discussion	1602
3. <i>Bowers</i> Reversed	1605
<i>Lawrence v. Texas</i>	1606
Discussion	1623
Note: Liberty, Equality, and <i>Lawrence</i>	1627
1. Liberty and/or Equality?	1627
2. Liberty versus Equality: A Queer Perspective	1629
3. Dignity in <i>Lawrence</i>	1629
B. Sexual Orientation: Equal Protection and Heightened Scrutiny	1630
Letter from the Attorney General to Congress on Litigation Involving the Defense of Marriage Act (February 23, 2011)	1631
Discussion	1634
C. Same-Sex Marriage	1636
<i>United States v. Windsor</i>	1640
Discussion	1643
<i>Obergefell v. Hodges</i>	1645
Discussion	1666
<i>Bostock v. Clayton County, Georgia</i>	1676
Discussion	1686
<i>Masterpiece Cakeshop v. Colorado Civil Rights Commission</i>	1688
<i>Fulton v. City of Philadelphia</i>	1689
Discussion	1694
D. Backlash and Social Movements	1696
1. The Judicial Backlash Thesis	1697

xxx	Contents
2. Skeptics of the Judicial Backlash Thesis	1697
Note: The Right to Die and Other Implied Fundamental Rights	1699
V. The Constitutional Right of Self-Defense	1703
<i>District of Columbia v. Heller</i>	1703
<i>McDonald v. City of Chicago</i>	1727
Discussion	1729
Chapter 10 The Constitution in the Modern Welfare State	1741
I. Does the Constitution Affirmatively Guarantee Any Welfare Rights?	1742
A. The Rights of Indigents in the Criminal Justice System	1742
B. The Creation of Fundamental Interests Under the Equal Protection Clause	1745
<i>Harper v. Virginia Bd. of Elections</i>	1745
Discussion	1749
Note: Protecting the Poor Through the Fourteenth Amendment	1749
C. Minimum Needs Rejected	1753
<i>Dandridge v. Williams</i>	1753
Discussion	1760
D. The Right to Education	1761
1. “Equal Provision” of Public Education	1761
<i>San Antonio Independent School District v. Rodriguez</i>	1761
Discussion	1775
2. Is There a Right to Some Minimal Provision of Educational Resources?	1776
<i>Plyler v. Doe</i>	1776
Discussion	1782
Note: On the Enforceability of “Positive Rights”	1782
Discussion	1784
E. Does the State Have a “Duty to Rescue”?	1789
<i>DeShaney v. Winnebago County Department of Social Services</i>	1789
Discussion	1793
Note: State Action in the Age of the Welfare State	1796
Appendix to Chapter 10 (online)	10-1
II. The Procedural Due Process Protection of Entitlements and Other Nontraditional Property and Liberty Interests: The Basic Doctrine	10-1

Contents	xxxi
A. What Procedural Safeguards Are Due?	10-1
<i>Goldberg v. Kelly</i>	10-1
Discussion	10-4
Note: To What Extent Does <i>Goldberg</i> Rest on Legal Formality?	10-6
III. The Welfare State and Burdens on Interstate Mobility	10-7
A. The Right to Travel as a Fundamental Right	10-8
<i>Shapiro v. Thompson</i>	10-8
B. The Right to Relocate	10-15
C. Can the State Give More Welfare to Long-Time Residents Than to Newcomers?	10-17
Discussion	10-18
D. Congressional Consent	10-18
E. The Court Reconsiders (and Reconceptualizes) <i>Shapiro</i>	10-19
<i>Saenz v. Roe</i>	10-19
Discussion	10-22
IV. Conditioning Spending in the Welfare State — The Problem of Unconstitutional Conditions	10-23
A. Introduction: Rights, Waivers, and Inducements to Change Behavior	10-23
Table of Justices	1801
Table of Cases	000
Index	000