

## CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	<i>xxxi</i>
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>xxxiii</i>
<i>Special Notice</i>	<i>xxxv</i>

<b>1 EVIDENCE LAW AND THE SYSTEM</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>A. Why Rules of Evidence?</b>	<b>1</b>
1. Why Evidence Law at All?	1
2. Why Rules Rather Than Common Law?	2
<b>B. What Happens at Trial</b>	<b>4</b>
1. Jury Selection	5
2. Opening Statement	6
3. Presentation of Proof	6
4. Trial Motions	8
5. Closing Argument	8
6. Instructions	9
7. Deliberations	10
8. The Verdict	11
9. Judgment and Post-Trial Motions	12
10. Appellate Review	12
<b>C. Making the Record</b>	<b>13</b>
1. What Is the Record and How Is It Made?	13
2. Beware the Pitfalls — What Not to Do	16
3. Taking Care — What to Do	19
<b>D. How Evidence Is Admitted or Excluded</b>	<b>20</b>
1. Getting Evidence In: Foundation and Offer	20
a. Testimonial Proof — Direct Examination	20
b. Testimonial Proof — Cross-Examination	21
■ Problem 1-A. How Did It Happen?	25
c. Real Evidence	26
d. Demonstrative Evidence	27
e. Writings	29
2. Keeping Evidence Out	30
a. The Objection	30
b. The Motion in Limine	35
	<b>xi</b>

3. The Offer of Proof	36
4. Judicial “Mini-Hearings”	41
<b>E. Consequences of Evidential Error</b>	<b>43</b>
1. Procedural Pitfalls and Adversarial Gambits	43
■ Problem 1-B. He Didn’t Object!	45
2. Evidentiary Error: The Discretion of the Trial Judge	46
3. Appraising Evidentiary Error on the Merits	47
<b>F. Timing for Review of Evidence Points</b>	<b>49</b>
1. Appeal from Final Judgment	49
2. Interlocutory Appeal	50
<b>2 RELEVANCE</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>A. Introduction</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>B. Logical Relevance</b>	<b>53</b>
1. Relevance and Materiality	53
<i>Old Chief v. United States (I)</i>	54
Notes and Questions: Relevance, “Fit,” and Offers to Stipulate	58
2. Relevance as Threshold: What Tendency Will Suffice? (Weight and Sufficiency Contrasted)	60
3. Establishing Relevance: The Evidential Hypothesis	62
■ Problem 2-A. Too Much Wax on the Floor?	64
■ Problem 2-B. Was He Going Too Fast?	64
■ Problem 2-C. Boys on the Bridge	65
■ Problem 2-D. Flight and Guilt	65
Notes and Questions: Evidence of Attempts to Avoid Capture	66
<b>C. Pragmatic Relevance</b>	<b>68</b>
1. Prejudice and Confusion	68
<i>State v. Chapple</i>	68
<i>Old Chief v. United States (II)</i>	71
Notes and Questions: Prejudice — Gruesome Photographs and Prior Crimes in Particular	76
■ Problem 2-E. The Battered Wife	79
■ Problem 2-F. The Exploding Gas Tank	80
Notes and Questions: Excluding Evidence Under FRE 403	81
2. Limited Admissibility — Confining the Impact of Proof	81
■ Problem 2-G. “My Insurance Will Cover It”	82
Notes and Questions: Limited Admissibility	82
3. Completeness — Providing Context	84
■ Problem 2-H. “Power Rollback Caused the Crash”	84
Notes and Questions: The Completeness Doctrine	85

4. “The Shortness of Life”	86
5. The Functions of Judge and Jury	87
■ Problem 2-I. Raid on the Cedar Woods Apartment	88
Notes and Questions: Conditional Relevance versus “Simple” Relevance	90
<b>D. The Relevance of Probabilistic Analysis</b>	<b>92</b>
<i>People v. Collins</i>	93
Notes and Questions: <i>Collins</i> and the Misuse of Probabilities	102
■ Problem 2-J. The Exploding Tire	104
Notes and Questions: Probabilistic Proof in Civil Cases	105
<b>3 HEARSAY</b>	<b>109</b>
<b>A. What Is Hearsay?</b>	<b>109</b>
1. Underlying Theory: Risks and Safeguards	109
2. Out-of-Court Statement Offered for Its Truth	112
■ Problem 3-A. Three See a Robbery	112
<b>B. A Closer Look at the Doctrine</b>	<b>113</b>
1. What Is a Statement?	113
a. Assertive Conduct	113
b. Nonassertive Conduct	114
■ Problem 3-B. Kenworth and Maserati	114
Picture: Baron Parke	115
<i>Wright v. Doe d. Tatham</i>	116
Notes and Questions: Nonassertive Conduct as Hearsay	119
Comment/Perspective: Implied Statements	122
<i>Cain v. George</i>	123
Notes and Questions: Evidence of Noncomplaint	124
c. Indirect Hearsay	125
<i>United States v. Check</i>	126
Notes and Questions: Indirect Hearsay	129
d. Machines and Animals Speak	130
2. When Is a Statement Not Hearsay?	131
■ Problem 3-C. “The Blue Car Ran a Red Light”	132
Notes and Questions: Impeachment by Prior Statements	132
■ Problem 3-D. “Any Way You Like”	133
Notes and Questions: Verbal Acts in Criminal Cases	133
■ Problem 3-E. Whose Corn?	134
Notes and Questions: Verbal Acts in Civil Cases	134
■ Problem 3-F. “I’m from the Gas Company”	135
Notes and Questions: Proving Effect on Hearer or Reader	135
■ Problem 3-G. Eagle’s Rest Bar & Grill	136

Notes and Questions: Verbal Objects or Markers	137
■ Problem 3-H. Anna Sofer's Will	138
■ Problem 3-I. "A Papier-Mâché Man"	139
Notes and Questions: Circumstantial Evidence of State of Mind and of Memory	140
<b>C. Hearsay Under Rule 801: A Reprise</b>	<b>141</b>
<b>D. Hearsay and Nonhearsay — Borderland of the Doctrine</b>	<b>142</b>
1. Statements with Performative Aspects	142
<i>United States v. Singer</i>	144
Notes and Questions: Statements with Performative Aspects	145
■ Problem 3-J. "My Husband Is in Denver"	146
Notes and Questions: Lying and Hearsay	146
■ Problem 3-K. King Air YC-437-CP	148
Notes and Questions: The Significance of Disclosure	148
2. Using Statements to Prove Matters Assumed	149
<i>United States v. Pacelli</i>	149
Notes and Questions: Using Statements to Prove Unspoken Thoughts	151
3. Statements That Are Questions or Commands	154
Notes and Questions: Applying Hearsay Doctrine to Questions and Commands	155
<b>E. Hearsay — Test Your Understanding</b>	<b>155</b>
<i>Betts v. Betts</i>	156
Notes and Questions: Statements as Circumstantial Evidence of State of Mind	158
<b>Hearsay Quiz</b>	<b>159</b>
<b>4 HEARSAY EXCEPTIONS</b>	<b>163</b>
<b>A. Introduction — Organization of the Hearsay Exceptions</b>	<b>163</b>
<b>B. Exceptions in FRE 801(d)(1) — Declarant Testifying</b>	<b>164</b>
1. Prior Inconsistent Statements	164
<i>State v. Smith</i>	165
Notes and Questions: Prior Proceedings	169
■ Problem 4-A. "I Got Amnesia"	170
Notes and Questions: Substantive Use of Inconsistent Statements: Memory Loss and Cross-Examinability	172
Comment/Perspective: Lack of Memory as an Inconsistency	172
2. Prior Consistent Statements	174
■ Problem 4-B. "He Thinks I'm His Wife"	178

Notes and Questions: Prior Consistent Statements and FRE 801(d)(1)(B)	179
<b>3. Prior Statements of Identification</b>	<b>182</b>
<i>State v. Motta</i>	183
Notes and Questions: Application of FRE 801(d)(1)(C)	185
Comment/Perspective: Constitutional Issues with Identifications	187
<b>C. Exceptions in FRE 801(d)(2) — Admissions by Party Opponent</b>	<b>188</b>
<b>1. Individual Admissions</b>	<b>189</b>
■ Problem 4-C. Fire in the Warehouse	190
Notes and Questions: Individual Admissions	190
Comment/Perspective: Apologies as Admissions	192
■ Problem 4-D. An Encounter Gone Bad	194
Notes and Questions: Prior Guilty Pleas	195
<i>Bruton v. United States</i>	196
■ Problem 4-E. His Employer's Car	199
Notes and Questions: <i>Bruton</i> and Admissions in Multiparty Situations	200
Comment/Perspective: Joint Trials and the <i>Bruton</i> Problem	202
<b>2. Adoptive Admissions</b>	<b>203</b>
<i>United States v. Hoosier</i>	204
Notes and Questions: Tacit Admissions	204
■ Problem 4-F. "Did You Rob That Bank?"	206
Notes and Questions: Ambivalent Responses to Questions	207
<i>Doyle v. Ohio</i>	208
Notes and Questions: Silence as Admission	211
<b>3. Admissions by Speaking Agents</b>	<b>213</b>
■ Problem 4-G. Couldn't He See the Boy?	214
Notes and Questions: Admissions in Judicial Proceedings	215
<b>4. Admissions by Employees and Agents</b>	<b>216</b>
<i>Mahlandt v. Wild Canid Survival &amp; Research Center</i>	219
Notes and Questions: Statements by Agents or Employees	223
Picture: Endangered Wolf Center	225
■ Problem 4-H. "I Was on an Errand for My Boss"	226
Notes and Questions: Bootstrapping and Coincidence	227
<b>5. Coconspirator Statements</b>	<b>227</b>
Notes and Questions: Procedural Issues	231
■ Problem 4-I. Drugs Across the Border	233
Notes and Questions: The Coconspirator Exception	234
<b>D. Exceptions in FRE 803 — Unrestricted</b>	<b>236</b>
<b>1. Present Sense Impressions and Excited Utterances</b>	<b>238</b>
<i>Nuttall v. Reading Co.</i>	240
Notes and Questions: Present Sense Impressions	243

	<i>United States v. Arnold</i>	245
	Notes and Questions: Excited Utterances	250
■	Problem 4-J. “I Felt This Sudden Pain”	253
	Notes and Questions: Proving Excitement for Purposes of the Exception	253
2.	State of Mind	256
a.	Then-Existing Physical Condition	256
b.	Then-Existing Mental or Emotional Condition	257
■	Problem 4-K. “He Says He’ll Kill Me”	260
	Notes and Questions: Proving State of Mind by Fact-Laden Statements	260
	Comment/Perspective: Statements of Fear in the O.J. Simpson Murder Trial	262
c.	Subsequent Conduct	263
	<i>Mutual Life Insurance Company v. Hillmon</i>	264
	<i>United States v. Pheaster</i>	267
	Notes and Questions: State of Mind as Proof of Conduct	271
	Picture: Who Is Buried in Hillmon’s Grave?	274
■	Problem 4-L. Fright Points the Finger	275
	Notes and Questions: Statements and Behavior by Murder Victims Indicating Fear	275
d.	Facts About Declarant’s Will	276
3.	Statements for Medical Treatment	277
■	Problem 4-M. Where Did She Fall?	277
	Notes and Questions: The Medical Statements Exception	278
	<i>Blake v. State</i>	279
	Notes and Questions: The Medical Statements Exception in Abuse Cases	282
4.	Past Recollection Recorded	287
	<i>Ohio v. Scott</i>	287
	Notes and Questions: Past Recollection Recorded	291
5.	Business Records	292
	<i>Petrocelli v. Gallison</i>	294
	Notes and Questions: Medical Records	298
	<i>Norcon, Inc. v. Kotowski</i>	300
	Notes and Questions: Internal Reports Offered as Business Records	303
	Picture: The Exxon Valdez	304
6.	Public Records	306
a.	Introduction	306
b.	Use in Civil Cases	308
	<i>Baker v. Elcona Homes Corp.</i>	308
	Notes and Questions: Public Records in Civil Cases	313
	Notes and Questions: The Trustworthiness Factor	315

c. Use in Criminal Cases	315
Notes and Questions: Proving Forensic Lab Reports in Criminal Cases	319
Picture: Troubles in Forensic Crime Laboratories	320
Comment/Perspective: Handling Forensic Drug Reports	322
■ Problem 4-N. “You Can’t Offer a Police Report”	322
Notes and Questions: Use of FRE 803(8) in Criminal Cases	323
7. Learned Treatises	324
Notes and Questions: Learned Treatises	325
<b>E. The Minor Exceptions</b>	<b>325</b>
<b>F. Exceptions in FRE 804 — Declarant Unavailable</b>	<b>329</b>
1. The Unavailability Requirement	330
<i>Barber v. Page</i>	334
Notes and Questions: Unavailability and the Constitution	335
■ Problem 4-O. “The Government Let Her Go”	337
Notes and Questions: Procured Absence	338
2. The Former Testimony Exception	339
<i>Lloyd v. American Export Lines, Inc.</i>	340
Notes and Questions: Prior Cross-Examination Requirement	346
Picture: S.S. Export Commerce	347
3. Dying Declarations	349
Notes and Questions: Dying Declarations	349
4. Declarations Against Interest	352
a. Introduction and General Considerations	352
b. Criminal Cases — Statements Implicating the Accused	354
<i>Williamson v. United States</i>	354
Notes and Questions: Statements Against Interest That Implicate the Accused	360
c. Criminal Cases — Statements Exonerating the Accused	362
■ Problem 4-P. “He Had Nothing to Do with It”	362
Notes and Questions: Statements Against Interest Exonerating the Accused	363
d. Corroboration Requirement; Other Details	364
5. Statements of Personal or Family History	364
6. Statements Admissible Because of Forfeiture by Misconduct	366
Notes and Questions: The Scope of the Forfeiture Exception	368
■ Problem 4-Q. “If You Want to Stay Healthy”	372
Notes and Questions: Applying the Forfeiture Exception	373
<b>G. The Residual Exception</b>	<b>374</b>
1. Origin of the Residual Exception	374
2. The Residual Exception and Proof of Exonerating Facts	376
<i>State v. Weaver</i>	376

Notes and Questions: Proving Exonerating Facts with the Residual Exception	381
Picture: Mary Weaver and Drama in Marshalltown	382
3. The Residual Exception and Child Abuse Prosecutions	383
<b>H. Impact of the Confrontation Clause</b>	<b>386</b>
1. Historical Antecedents	386
Notes and Questions: The <i>Roberts</i> Doctrine	388
2. Modern Doctrine: <i>Crawford</i> and “Testimonial” Hearsay	390
Picture: Justice Antonin Scalia	390
<i>Crawford v. Washington</i>	391
Notes and Questions: <i>Crawford’s</i> “Testimonial” Approach	401
3. The Emergency Doctrine	407
<i>Davis v. Washington</i>	407
Notes and Questions: The Primary Purpose Test and the Emergency Doctrine	414
4. The Cross-Examination Factor	416
a. Cross-Examination at Trial (Deferred Cross)	417
■ Problem 4-R. “Your Witness”	420
Notes and Questions: Trial Cross-Examination	421
b. Cross-Examination Before Trial (Prior Cross)	422
5. Protected-Witness Testimony	423
Notes and Questions: Protected-Witness Testimony	424
Hearsay Exception and Confrontation Quiz	425
<b>5 RELEVANCE REVISITED</b>	<b>429</b>
<b>A. Character Evidence</b>	<b>429</b>
1. Relevancy and Form	429
2. Character to Prove Conduct on a Particular Occasion	431
a. Character of a Criminal Defendant	431
■ Problem 5-A. Fight in the Red Dog Saloon (Part 1)	431
Notes and Questions: Evidence of a Criminal Defendant’s Character	431
b. Character of a Crime Victim	433
■ Problem 5-B. Red Dog Saloon (Part 2)	433
Notes and Questions: Evidence of a Crime Victim’s Character	433
c. Methods of Proving Character	434
■ Problem 5-C. Red Dog Saloon (Part 3)	436
Notes and Questions: Opinion and Reputation Testimony	436
d. Cross-Examination and Rebuttal	437
■ Problem 5-D. What Price Truth?	438
Notes and Questions: Cross-Examination of Character Witnesses	438
e. Civil Cases	440
3. Character as an Element of a Charge, Claim, or Defense	440
a. Criminal Cases	441



b. Civil Cases	442
4. Prior Acts as Proof of Motive, Intent, Plan, and Related Points	444
a. General Considerations	444
b. Proving Intent	446
■ Problem 5-E. Drug Sale or Scam?	446
Notes and Questions: Prior Acts as Proof of Intent in Criminal Cases	447
c. Identity, Modus Operandi	449
■ Problem 5-F. “He Came Running in All Hunched Over”	450
Notes and Questions: Prior Acts to Prove Modus Operandi	450
d. Plan, Preparation	451
■ Problem 5-G. Was It a Crime or Just a Misunderstanding?	452
Notes and Questions: Prior Acts Offered to Prove Plan or Preparation	452
e. Absence of Mistake and Lack of Accident; Other Purposes	453
■ Problem 5-H. “It Was Just a Tragic Accident”	453
Notes and Questions: Lack of Accident; Other Uses of Prior Crimes Evidence	454
Comment/Perspective: Do the Character Rules Apply to Corporations?	456
f. Proving Prior Acts: Procedural Questions	457
■ Problem 5-I. “I Didn’t Know They Were Stolen”	458
Notes and Questions: Proving Prior Acts	459
Comment/Perspective: Ongoing Debate over Character Evidence	461
5. Character in Sex Offense Cases (Criminal and Civil)	462
a. Sexual History of Victim (Rape Shield Statutes)	462
■ Problem 5-J. Ordeal of Leslie or Fred	463
Notes and Questions: Evidence of Complainant’s Prior Sexual Conduct in Criminal Cases	464
■ Problem 5-K. Acting Out on the Assembly Line	466
Notes and Questions: Evidence of Complainant’s Prior Sexual Conduct in Civil Cases	467
b. Prior Offenses by Defendants in Sex Crime and Abuse Trials, and Civil Suits	468
■ Problem 5-L. “I Told Him to Stop”	469
Notes and Questions: Proving Defendant’s Prior Sexual Assaults	471
<b>B. Habit and Routine Practice</b>	<b>473</b>
■ Problem 5-M. Death on the Highway	475
■ Problem 5-N. The Burning Sofa	475
Notes and Questions: Habit Evidence in Negligence Cases	476
■ Problem 5-O. Was He Served?	478
Notes and Questions: Organizational Custom and Practice	479

<b>C. Remedial Measures</b>	<b>480</b>
<i>Tuer v. McDonald</i>	481
Notes and Questions: Subsequent Remedial Measures	486
<b>D. Settlement Negotiations</b>	<b>489</b>
1. Use of Civil Settlements in Later Civil Cases	489
■ Problem 5-P. Two Potato, One Potato	490
Notes and Questions: Civil Settlement Offers in Civil Cases	491
2. Use of Civil Settlements in Later Criminal Cases	492
■ Problem 5-Q. “This Is Criminal; You Can’t Exclude Civil Settlements Here”	492
Notes and Questions: Civil Settlement Offers in Criminal Cases	493
3. Plea Bargaining in Criminal Cases	494
■ Problem 5-R. “I Used His Stuff”	496
Notes and Questions: Plea Bargaining with Agents	497
■ Problem 5-S. “We Can Talk, But You Have to Sign a Waiver First”	498
Notes and Questions: Plea Bargaining and Waivers	499
<b>E. Proof of Payment of Medical Expenses</b>	<b>501</b>
<b>F. Proof of Insurance Coverage</b>	<b>501</b>
Notes and Questions: Evidence of Insurance Coverage	502
<b>6 COMPETENCY OF WITNESSES</b>	<b>505</b>
<b>A. Historical Note</b>	<b>505</b>
Notes and Questions: Historical Grounds of Incompetency	507
<b>B. Competency: The Modern View</b>	<b>507</b>
<i>United States v. Lightly</i>	507
Notes and Questions: The Modern View of Competency	508
<b>C. The Personal Knowledge Requirement</b>	<b>509</b>
<b>D. The Oath Requirement</b>	<b>510</b>
<i>United States v. Fowler</i>	510
Notes and Questions: The Oath Requirement	511
Picture: The Reluctant Oath-Taker: The Bhagwan Shree Rajneesh	512
<b>E. The Child Witness</b>	<b>513</b>
<i>Ricketts v. Delaware</i>	513
Notes and Questions: Children as Witnesses	515
<b>F. Dead Person’s Statutes</b>	<b>516</b>
Comment/Perspective: State Competency Limits and the Constitution	517

<b>G. Lawyers as Witnesses</b>	<b>518</b>
Notes and Questions: Lawyers as Witnesses	519
<b>H. Judges as Witnesses</b>	<b>519</b>
<b>I. Jurors as Witnesses</b>	<b>520</b>
1. Preverdict Testimony by Jurors	520
■ Problem 6-A. Outside Influence	520
2. Postverdict Testimony by Jurors	521
<i>Tanner v. United States</i>	521
Notes and Questions: The <i>Tanner</i> Case	526
■ Problem 6-B. Refusal to Take the Stand	527
■ Problem 6-C. The Jury View	527
■ Problem 6-D. The Bomber	527
■ Problem 6-E. The \$800,000 Jury Error	528
3. Constitutional Challenges to Juror Misconduct	528
Notes and Questions: The <i>Peña-Rodriguez</i> Case	531
■ Problem 6-F. “Women Drivers”	532
<b>7 DIRECT AND CROSS-EXAMINATION REVISITED</b>	<b>533</b>
<b>A. Direct Examination</b>	<b>533</b>
1. Nonleading Questions	533
2. Exceptions — Leading Questions Allowed	533
<i>Baker v. State</i>	535
Notes and Questions: Refreshing Recollection	540
<b>B. Cross-Examination</b>	<b>541</b>
1. Leading Questions	541
2. Cross-Examination as an Entitlement	542
3. Protecting the Right to Cross-Examine	543
<b>C. Excluding Witnesses</b>	<b>545</b>
1. The General Principle	545
<i>Susanna and the Elders</i>	545
■ Problem 7-A. Daily Transcripts	547
Notes and Questions: Breadth of FRE 615	547
Picture: Witness Sequestration in Terrorism Trial of Zacarias Moussaoui	549
2. Traditional Exemptions from the Witness Rule	550

<b>8</b>	<b>IMPEACHMENT OF WITNESSES</b>	<b>553</b>
<b>A.</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>553</b>
<b>B.</b>	<b>Nonspecific Impeachment</b>	<b>554</b>
1.	Bias and Motivation	554
	Picture: Deathrow Inmate John Clyde Abel	555
	<i>United States v. Abel</i>	556
	Notes and Questions: Ways to Show the Bias of a Witness	561
	Comment/Perspective: Using the Name “Aryan Brotherhood”	563
	■ Problem 8-A. The Hired Gun	564
	Notes and Questions: Cross-Examining the Paid Witness	565
2.	Sensory and Mental Capacity	566
	Notes and Questions: Proving Lack of Capacity	566
3.	Character for “Truth and Veracity”	568
a.	Cross-Examination on Nonconviction Misconduct	569
	<i>United States v. Manske</i>	571
	Notes and Questions: Cross-Examination on Nonconviction Misconduct	574
b.	Proving Prior Convictions	577
	■ Problem 8-B. “Hit the Deck”	581
	Notes and Questions: Applying the First Prong — FRE 609(a)(1)	581
	Comment/Perspective: Prior Convictions and Untruthfulness	584
	■ Problem 8-C. “A History of Lying”	585
	Notes and Questions: Applying the Second Prong — FRE 609(a)(2)	586
	■ Problem 8-D. Faker, Thug?	588
	Notes and Questions: Coordinating FRE 608 with 609	589
	<i>Luce v. United States</i>	590
	Notes and Questions: Preserving Error for Review	593
c.	Character Witnesses	595
<b>C.</b>	<b>Specific Impeachment</b>	<b>596</b>
1.	Prior Inconsistent Statements	596
a.	Procedural Issues	597
	■ Problem 8-E. “He’s Trying to Sandbag Us!”	598
	Notes and Questions: Applying FRE 613(b)	599
b.	Inconsistent Statements and Misuse of the Impeachment Process	600
	<i>United States v. Webster</i>	601
	Notes and Questions: “Abuse” of FRE 607	602
c.	Constitutional Issues: Prior Inconsistent Statements	604
	<i>Harris v. New York</i>	605
	Notes and Questions: Impeachment by <i>Miranda</i> -Barred Statements	609
d.	Constitutional Issues: Prior Silence Offered to Impeach	611
	Notes and Questions: Using Silence to Impeach	612

2. Contradiction	614
a. The Regulating Scheme	614
■ Problem 8-F. “That’s Just Collateral, Your Honor”	618
Notes and Questions: The “Collateral Matters” Concept and the Relevancy of Counterproof Offered to Contradict	618
b. Constitutional Issues with Impeachment by Contradiction	619
<i>United States v. Havens</i>	619
Notes and Questions: Contradicting a Witness by Constitutionally Excludable Counterproof	624
Comment/Perspective: <i>Harris, Harvey, Havens</i> (3 Hs Limiting 3 Ms)	626
<b>D. Repairing Credibility</b>	<b>627</b>
1. What Constitutes an Attack on Credibility That Paves the Way for Repair?	628
Notes and Questions: Rebutting Impeaching Attacks	629
2. Evidence of Good Character	629
Notes and Questions: Proving Truthfulness: Character and Behavioral Syndrome Evidence	632
3. Prior Consistent Statements	634
■ Problem 8-G. “She Handed Me the Heroin”	638
Notes and Questions: Prior Consistencies	639
<b>E. Forbidden Attacks</b>	<b>640</b>
Notes and Questions: Religious Belief and Impeachment	640
<b>F. Test Your Understanding</b>	<b>641</b>
<b>9 OPINION AND EXPERT TESTIMONY; SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE</b>	<b>645</b>
<b>A. Lay Opinion Testimony</b>	<b>645</b>
■ Problem 9-A. “It Was My Impression”	648
Notes and Questions: Lay Testimony on Another’s Meaning or State of Mind	648
■ Problem 9-B. The Watchful Neighbor	650
Further Notes and Questions: Lay Opinion Testimony Revisited	651
<b>B. Expert Witnesses</b>	<b>653</b>
1. Qualifying the Witness: Who Is an Expert?	654
2. Helpfulness Standard: When Can Experts Testify?	654
3. Reasonable Reliance Standard: The Bases of Expert Testimony	656
■ Problem 9-C. “They Saw It the Same Way I Did”	659
Notes and Questions: Bases for Expert Testimony and the Conduit Problem	660
4. Formal Problems — The Mental State Restriction	663

Notes and Questions: Formal Restrictions	664
5. Presenting Expert Testimony: Stating Opinion Directly	665
Notes and Questions: Presenting Expert Testimony	669
6. Court-Appointed Experts	671
<b>C. Reliability Standard for Scientific and Other Technical Evidence</b>	<b>672</b>
1. Defining a Standard	672
Picture: Thomas Kuhn and Karl Popper: The Nature of Science	674
<i>Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals</i>	675
Notes and Questions: <i>Daubert</i> and the Reliability Standard	685
Comment/Perspective: What Did <i>Daubert</i> Do?	686
<i>Kumho Tire Company, Ltd. v. Carmichael</i>	687
Notes and Questions: <i>Kumho Tire</i> and the Broadening of the <i>Daubert</i> Standard	695
Comment/Perspective: <i>Daubert</i> in Civil Cases	699
2. Modern Science in the Courtroom	700
a. Toxic Tort Cases	700
b. Syndrome and Social Framework Evidence	701
■ Problem 9-D. “They Become Anxious and Guilt-Ridden”	703
Notes and Questions: Syndrome and Framework Evidence	704
c. DNA Evidence	706
<i>State v. Moore</i>	707
■ Problem 9-E. “We Found a Match”	710
Notes and Questions: DNA Evidence	712
d. Serologic and DNA Testing and Paternity	714
■ Problem 9-F. Paternity Index 624	716
Notes and Questions: Serologic and Genetic Tests in Paternity Cases	717
<b>10 BURDENS OF PROOF AND PRESUMPTIONS</b>	<b>723</b>
<b>A. Burdens and Presumptions in Civil Cases</b>	<b>723</b>
1. Pretrial Burdens (Pleading)	723
2. Trial Burdens (Production and Persuasion)	724
3. A Special Device for Shifting and Allocating Burdens: The Presumption	727
a. Sources and Nature of Presumptions	727
b. Easy Cases: Presumption Controls, Disappears, or Is Contingent	730
■ Problem 10-A. The Unhappy Harpsichordist	731
Notes and Questions: Presumptions in the Contingent Situation	732
c. Hard Cases — Presumption Operates a Little, or Maybe Disappears	732
■ Problem 10-B. The Death of Mason Parnell	737
Notes and Questions: Presumptions in the “In-Between” Cases	738
d. Presumptions in Suits Based on Statutes	740
Notes and Questions: <i>Burdine</i> and FRE 301 in “In-Between” Cases	741

Notes and Questions: FRE 301 and Federal Statutory Remedies	743
e. Bifurcated Approaches	745
f. State Presumptions in Diversity Cases	745
<b>B. Burdens, Presumptions, and Inferences in Criminal Cases</b>	<b>745</b>
1. Burden of Persuasion	745
Notes and Questions: Burden of Persuasion in Criminal Cases	747
■ Problem 10-C. Killing by “Calculation and Design”?	750
2. Presumptions and Inferences	751
<i>Sandstrom v. Montana</i>	752
Notes and Questions: The Significance of <i>Sandstrom</i>	758
<i>County of Ulster v. Allen</i>	760
Notes and Questions: The Significance of <i>Allen</i>	769
■ Problem 10-D. Presence of a Firearm	770
Notes and Questions: Inferences in Criminal Cases	770
<b>11 JUDICIAL NOTICE</b>	<b>773</b>
<b>A. Introduction</b>	<b>773</b>
<b>B. Judicial Notice of Adjudicative Facts</b>	<b>775</b>
■ Problem 11-A. Dry Pavement	776
■ Problem 11-B. The Subpoena	776
■ Problem 11-C. Interstate Betting	776
■ Problem 11-D. The Football Fan	777
■ Problem 11-E. Delayed Shipment	777
■ Problem 11-F. Asbestos and Cancer	777
<i>Government of the Virgin Islands v. Gereau</i>	778
Notes and Questions: Judicial Notice of Adjudicative Facts	779
Comment/Perspective: How Broad Should Judicial Notice Be?	781
<b>C. Judicial Notice in Criminal Cases</b>	<b>781</b>
<i>United States v. Jones</i>	781
Notes and Questions: Judicial Notice in Criminal Cases	783
■ Problem 11-G. Deadly Weapon	785
<b>D. Evaluative Facts</b>	<b>786</b>
■ Problem 11-H. “Okay, Maurie”	786
Notes and Questions: Judicial Notice of Evaluative Facts	786
<b>E. Judicial Notice of Legislative Facts</b>	<b>788</b>
<i>Houser v. State</i>	788
Notes and Questions: Judicial Notice of Legislative Facts	790

<b>F. Judicial Notice of Law</b>	<b>791</b>
Notes and Questions: Judicial Notice of Law	791
<b>G. The Problem of Classification</b>	<b>793</b>
<i>United States v. Gould</i>	793
■ Problem 11-I. Obscene Books	796
Notes and Questions: The Problem of Classification	796
■ Problem 11-J. “Drunk as a Skunk”	797
■ Problem 11-K. Lighter Fluid Explosion	797
■ Problem 11-L. Speed Trap	798
<b>12 PRIVILEGES</b>	<b>799</b>
<b>A. Introduction</b>	<b>799</b>
Notes and Questions: FRE 501	800
<b>B. Attorney-Client Privilege</b>	<b>801</b>
1. Reasons for the Privilege	801
<i>5 J. Bentham, Rationale of Judicial Evidence 301</i>	801
Notes and Questions: Justifications for the Privilege	802
Picture: Jeremy Bentham, Skeptical Curmudgeon	804
■ Problem 12-A. “A Bum Rap”	804
Notes and Questions: The Constitutional Right to Present a Defense	805
2. Professional Services	806
■ Problem 12-B. The Bail Jumper	807
Notes and Questions: Providing Professional Services	807
3. Communications	808
■ Problem 12-C. The Tipsy Client	809
Notes and Questions: Observations and Advice by Counsel	809
<i>People v. Meredith</i>	810
Notes and Questions: Applying the Privilege to Objects	815
4. Required Confidentiality	816
a. Involving or Disclosing to Communicative Intermediaries	816
Notes and Questions: Communicative Intermediaries and Other Aides	817
b. Joint Clients and Pooled Defenses	817
■ Problem 12-D. A Failed Venture	818
Notes and Questions: Intentional Disclosure	818
c. Scavengers and Eavesdroppers	819
<i>Suburban Sew ’N Sweep v. Swiss-Bernina</i>	820
Notes and Questions: Scavengers and Eavesdroppers	822
5. The Corporate Client	824
<i>Upjohn Co. v. United States</i>	824



Notes and Questions: Corporate Attorney-Client Privilege	831
Comment/Perspective: Attorney-Client Privilege and Work Product Protection	833
6. Exceptions to Coverage	834
a. Client Identity	834
<i>In re Osterhoudt</i>	834
■ Problem 12-E. Hit and Run to Your Lawyer	837
Notes and Questions: Privilege for Client's Identity	838
b. Future Crime or Fraud	839
<i>United States v. Albertelli</i>	840
Notes and Questions: The Crime-Fraud Exception	841
7. Assertion, Waiver, and Appellate Review	843
a. Asserting the Privilege	843
b. Waiver	844
■ Problem 12-F. "The Disclosure Was Inadvertent"	848
Notes and Questions: FRE 502 and the Issue of Disclosure	849
c. Appellate Review of Privilege Rulings	852
■ Problem 12-G. The Reluctant Lawyer	853
Notes and Questions: Appellate Review of Privilege Issues	853
<b>C. The Psychotherapist-Patient Privilege</b>	<b>854</b>
<i>Jaffee v. Redmond</i>	854
Notes and Questions: <i>Jaffee</i> and the Federal Psychotherapist-Patient Privilege	863
<b>D. Spousal Privileges</b>	<b>866</b>
1. Introduction	866
2. Testimonial Privilege	867
<i>Trammel v. United States</i>	868
Notes and Questions: Spousal Testimonial Privilege	874
■ Problem 12-H. The Babysitter's Testimony	876
3. Spousal Confidences Privilege	876
<i>United States v. Montgomery</i>	878
Notes and Questions: Spousal Confidences	883
<b>13 FOUNDATIONAL EVIDENCE, AUTHENTICATION</b>	<b>885</b>
<b>A. Introduction</b>	<b>885</b>
Notes and Questions: The Authentication Requirement	886
<b>B. Tangible Objects</b>	<b>888</b>
<i>United States v. Johnson</i>	888
Notes and Questions: Authenticating Tangible Objects	889
■ Problem 13-A. A White Granular Substance	890
<i>United States v. Howard-Arias</i>	890
Notes and Questions: Chain of Custody	891

<b>C. Writings</b>	<b>892</b>
<i>United States v. Bagaric</i>	892
Notes and Questions: Authenticating Writings	893
Comment/Perspective: Expert Handwriting Authentication and the Case Against Bruno Richard Hauptmann	894
■ Problem 13-B. The Land-Sale Contract	895
<i>R. Keeton, Basic Expressions for Trial Lawyers (1979)</i>	895
<b>D. Electronic Evidence and Social Media</b>	<b>896</b>
■ Problem 13-C. “The Wizard” and the Incriminating Email	898
Notes and Questions: Authentication of Electronic Evidence and Social Media	898
<b>E. Recordings</b>	<b>900</b>
■ Problem 13-D. The Hidden Microphone	901
<i>United States v. Oslund</i>	901
Notes and Questions: Authenticating Recorded Conversations	904
Comment/Perspective: Transcripts of Recordings as Jury Aids	906
<b>F. Other Exhibits</b>	<b>907</b>
■ Problem 13-E. The Photograph	907
■ Problem 13-F. X-Ray	907
■ Problem 13-G. Computer Printout	907
<b>G. Telephone Conversations</b>	<b>907</b>
<i>United States v. Pool</i>	908
Notes and Questions: Authenticating Phonecalls	909
■ Problem 13-H. “This Is O’Rourke”	909
<b>H. Self-Authenticating Exhibits</b>	<b>910</b>
1. Acknowledged Documents	910
■ Problem 13-I. The Rejected Easement	911
Notes and Questions: Acknowledged Documents	911
2. Certified Copies of Public Records	912
■ Problem 13-J. The Death Certificate	912
Notes and Questions: Certified Copies of Public Records	913
3. Newspapers, Periodicals, and Other Self-Authenticating Material	914
■ Problem 13-K. The House of the Rising Sun	915
Notes and Questions: Newspapers, Periodicals, and Other Self-Authenticating Exhibits	915
<b>I. Demonstrative Evidence Generally</b>	<b>917</b>
<i>Belli, Demonstrative Evidence: Seeing Is Believing</i>	917
■ Problem 13-L. The Jury Request	920
Notes and Questions: Demonstrative Evidence	921

<b>J. Computer Animations and Simulations</b>	<b>923</b>
■ Problem 13-M. “The Animation Will Help the Jury”	923
Notes and Questions: Computer Animations	924
<b>14 THE “BEST EVIDENCE” DOCTRINE</b>	<b>925</b>
<b>A. Introduction</b>	<b>925</b>
Notes and Questions: The “Best Evidence” Doctrine	926
■ Problem 14-A. The Defamatory Letter	927
<b>B. Defining a “Writing, Recording, or Photograph”</b>	<b>927</b>
<i>United States v. Duffy</i>	927
Notes and Questions: Defining a “Writing, Recording, or Photograph”	930
<b>C. Defining an “Original”</b>	<b>931</b>
■ Problem 14-B. The Unprivate Physician	931
Notes and Questions: Defining an “Original”	932
<b>D. Use of Duplicates</b>	<b>932</b>
■ Problem 14-C. “There Never Was Such an Original”	933
Notes and Questions: Use of Duplicates	934
■ Problem 14-D. Nine Hours or One?	934
Notes and Questions: Challenging Authenticity of the Original	935
<b>E. Best Evidence Doctrine in Operation</b>	<b>935</b>
■ Problem 14-E. The XXX-Rated Movies	936
■ Problem 14-F. The Surveillance Photograph	937
<i>Meyers v. United States</i>	937
Notes and Questions: <i>Meyers</i> and the Limits of the Best Evidence Doctrine	941
■ Problem 14-G. The Recorded Conversation	941
■ Problem 14-H. The Sick Chickens	942
■ Problem 14-I. Cash Payment	942
■ Problem 14-J. The Unreported Burglary	942
■ Problem 14-K. The Unproduced X-Ray	943
<b>F. Production of Original Excused</b>	<b>943</b>
<i>Sylvania Electric Products v. Flanagan</i>	943
Notes and Questions: Admissibility of “Other Evidence of Contents”	946
■ Problem 14-L. Testimony versus Photocopy	947
<b>G. Other Escapes from Production of the Original</b>	<b>947</b>
■ Problem 14-M. The Tax Evader	948

- Problem 14-N. “No Pets” 948
- Notes and Questions: Other Escapes from Producing the Original 948

<i>Table of Cases</i>	951
<i>Table of Rules</i>	967
<i>Table of Authorities</i>	971
<i>Index</i>	979