

CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	<i>xxv</i>
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>xxix</i>

1 INTRODUCTION TO TORTS	1
I. Torts Defined	1
II. Goals and Criticisms	2
A. What Are the Purposes Behind Tort Law?	3
B. Has Tort Law Gotten Out of Control?	5
Rule 11 and Tort Reform: Myth, Reality, and Legislation	5
III. Case Procedure and Definitions	9
A. Pleadings and Attacks on Pleadings	9
B. Formal Discovery	10
C. Motions for Summary Judgment	10
D. Trial	11
E. Entry of Judgment	11
F. Appeal	12
IV. Case Briefing	12
A. Reasons for Briefing a Case	12
B. Preparation of a Case Brief	13
1. Facts	13
2. Procedural History	13
3. Issue	14
4. Rule	14
5. Analysis	14
6. Holding	15
2 INTENTIONAL TORTS	17
I. Overview	17
II. Battery	18
A. Introduction	18
	xi

B. Intent	19
<i>Garratt v. Dailey</i>	19
<i>Waters v. Blackshear</i>	23
C. Offensive, Indirect, and Intangible Contacts	26
<i>Fisher v. Carrousel Motor Hotel, Inc.</i>	26
<i>Richardson v. Hennly</i>	28
<i>Eichenwald v. Rivello</i>	29
D. Scope of Liability for Battery	34
<i>Nelson v. Carroll</i>	34
E. The Single vs. Dual Intent Debate	40
<i>White v. Muniz</i>	40
III. Assault	46
A. Introduction	46
B. The Elements	46
1. Intent	46
<i>Cullison v. Medley</i>	46
2. Reasonable Apprehension	50
<i>Bouton v. Allstate Ins. Co.</i>	50
3. Imminent Apprehension	52
<i>Brower v. Ackerly</i>	53
C. Transfer of Intent	56
<i>Hall v. McBryde</i>	57
IV. False Imprisonment	60
A. Introduction	60
B. The Elements	60
1. Intent to Detain	61
<i>William Whirl v. C.V. (Buster) Kern</i>	61
2. Detention	69
<i>Smith v. Comair, Inc.</i>	69
C. Shopkeeper's Privilege	72
<i>Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Resendez</i>	73
V. Trespass	76
A. Introduction	76
B. Land	77
1. Intent	77
<i>Thomas v. Harrah's Vicksburg Corp.</i>	77
2. Scope of Liability	82
<i>Baker v. Shymkiv</i>	82
C. Personal Property	85
1. Trespass to Chattels	85
<i>Koepnick v. Sears Roebuck & Co.</i>	85
2. Conversion	89
<i>Pearson v. Dodd</i>	89

VI. Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress	93
A. Outrageous Conduct Intending Emotional Distress	94
<i>Zalnis v. Thoroughbred Datsun Car Co.</i>	94
<i>Strauss v. Cilek</i>	97
B. Severe Emotional Distress	99
<i>Miller v. Willbanks, M.D.</i>	100
<i>Jones v. Clinton</i>	103
C. Constitutional Protection of Outrageous Speech	108
<i>Snyder v. Phelps</i>	108
3 DEFENSES TO INTENTIONAL TORTS	115
I. Introduction	115
II. Consent	116
A. Standard and Effect	116
<i>McQuiggan v. Boy Scouts of America</i>	116
B. Limitations on Consent	120
1. Exceeding the Scope	120
<i>Koffman v. Garnett</i>	120
2. Fraud	124
<i>Hogan v. Tavzel</i>	124
<i>McPherson v. McPherson</i>	125
III. Defense of Self, Others, and Property	127
A. Self-Defense	128
<i>Slayton v. McDonald</i>	128
B. Defense of Others	133
<i>Young v. Warren</i>	133
C. Defense of Property	137
<i>Woodard v. Turnipseed</i>	137
<i>Katko v. Briney</i>	140
4 NEGLIGENCE: BREACH OF DUTY OF REASONABLE CARE	145
I. Introduction	145
II. The Duty of Reasonable Care	146
A. The Objective Standard	146
<i>Vaughan v. Menlove</i>	146
B. Actual and Constructive Knowledge; Hindsight	148
<i>Parrot v. Wells, Fargo & Co.</i>	148

III. The Reasonable Person Under the Circumstances	152
A. Extraordinary Knowledge and Skill	152
<i>Cervelli v. Graves</i>	153
B. Physical Disability	155
<i>Poyner v. Loftus</i>	156
C. Mental Disability	159
<i>Creasy v. Rusk</i>	160
D. Children	165
<i>Robinson v. Lindsay</i>	166
E. Extraordinarily Dangerous Activities	170
<i>Stewart v. Motts</i>	170
F. Sudden Emergency	173
<i>Myhaver v. Knutson</i>	174
IV. Proving Breach of Duty	177
A. The Learned Hand Formula	178
<i>Davis v. Consolidated Rail Corp.</i>	178
B. Negligence Per Se—Violation of Statutes	185
1. Origins and Rationale	185
<i>Martin v. Herzog</i>	185
2. Type of Harm and Membership in Protected Class	189
<i>Wawanesa Mutual Ins. v. Matlock</i>	189
3. Excuse	193
<i>Sikora v. Wenzel</i>	193
C. Custom	198
1. Industry Custom	199
<i>The T.J. Hooper</i>	199
2. Personal Custom	201
<i>Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Wright</i>	202
D. <i>Res Ipsa Loquitur</i>	205
<i>Byrne v. Boadle</i>	205
<i>Krebs v. Corrigan</i>	207
V. Recklessness as an Alternative Standard	210
<i>Mobil v. Ellender</i>	210
5 CAUSATION	217
I. Introduction	217
II. Actual Cause	218
A. The “But-For” Test	218
<i>Cay v. Louisiana</i>	219
<i>Lyons v. Midnight Sun Transportation Services</i>	223
<i>East Texas Theatres, Inc. v. Rutledge</i>	224

B. Alternatives to But-For Causation	227
1. Multiple Sufficient Independent Causes	227
<i>Kingston v. Chicago & Northwestern Railway</i>	228
2. Alternative Liability	232
<i>Summers v. Tice</i>	232
<i>Burke v. Schaffner</i>	235
3. Modified Alternative Liability: Market Share	240
<i>Sindell v. Abbott Laboratories</i>	241
4. Increased Risk of Future Harm	247
<i>Temple-Inland Products Corp. v. Carter</i>	248
5. Loss of Chance	252
<i>Lord v. Lovett</i>	252
III. Proximate Cause	255
A. Introduction	256
<i>Palsgraf v. Long Island Railway Co.</i>	257
B. The Direct Cause Test	265
<i>In re Polemis</i>	266
<i>Laureano v. Louzoun</i>	267
C. The Foreseeability Test	269
1. Whether the Type of Accident Was Within the Scope of the Risk	269
<i>Tieder v. Little</i>	269
2. Breach of Duty Does Not Necessarily Prove Proximate Cause	274
<i>Crankshaw v. Piedmont Driving Club</i>	274
D. The Substantial Factor Test	276
1. Introduction to the Substantial Factor Test	276
<i>Brisboy v. Fibreboard Paper Products Corp.</i>	277
2. Substantial Factor as a Test for Proximate Cause	280
<i>American Truck Leasing, Inc. v. Thorne Equipment Co.</i>	280
E. Superseding vs. Intervening Causes	283
<i>Price v. Blaine Kern Artista, Inc.</i>	283
<i>McCane-Sondock Protection Systems v. Emmittee</i>	285
6 SPECIAL DUTY RULES	291
I. Introduction	291
II. Duty to Act	292
A. Acts vs. Omissions	292
1. No General Duty to Aid Others	293
<i>Yania v. Bigan</i>	293
2. When Acting, the Duty of Care Exists	295
<i>United States v. Lawter</i>	295
3. Special Relationships Compelling Action	296
<i>Lundy v. Adamar of New Jersey, Inc.</i>	296

4. Duty Ends at Expiration of Special Relationship	304
<i>Boyette v. Trans World Airlines, Inc.</i>	305
B. Rescuers	307
1. The Rescue Doctrine	307
<i>McCoy v. American Suzuki Motor Corp.</i>	307
2. The Firefighter Rule	311
<i>Moody v. Delta Western</i>	311
<i>Snellenberger v. Rodriguez</i>	313
III. Duty to Protect Third Parties from Another's Harm	315
A. Health Care Workers	315
<i>Emerich v. Philadelphia Center for Human Development</i>	316
<i>Bradshaw v. Daniel, M.D.</i>	324
B. Employer-Employee Relationships	329
<i>Otis Engineering Corp. v. Clark</i>	329
IV. Duty Limited by the Nature of the Primary Harm	335
A. Emotional Distress	335
1. From Impact Rule to Zone of Danger	336
<i>Robb v. The Pennsylvania Railroad Co.</i>	336
2. From Zone of Danger to <i>Dillon</i> Rule of Foreseeability	341
<i>Dillon v. Legg</i>	341
<i>Grotts v. Zahner</i>	348
3. Is There a General Duty Not to Cause Emotional Distress?	350
<i>Boyles v. Kerr</i>	351
B. "Mere" Economic Harm	356
<i>532 Madison Avenue Gourmet Foods v. Finlandia Center, Inc.</i>	357
C. Wrongful Pregnancy, Wrongful Life, and Wrongful Birth	362
1. Wrongful Pregnancy	362
<i>Johnson v. University Hospitals of Cleveland</i>	362
2. Wrongful Birth and Wrongful Life	367
<i>Nelson v. Krusen</i>	368
V. Duty Limited by Nature of the Activity: Primary Assumption of the Risk	373
A. Introduction	373
B. Inherent Risk	373
<i>Murphy v. Steeplechase Amusement Co., Inc.</i>	373
C. A More Refined Test for Inherent Risks	376
<i>Clover v. Snowbird Ski Resort</i>	376
VI. Duty Based Upon Victim's Status	381
A. Introduction	381
B. Trespassers	381
1. Adult Trespassers	382
<i>Ryals v. United States Steel Corp.</i>	382
2. Child Trespassers: The Attractive Nuisance Doctrine	384
<i>Bennett v. Stanley</i>	384

C. Licensees	391
<i>Knorpp v. Hale</i>	391
D. Invitees	395
1. Time as a Trigger of Duty	396
<i>Richardson v. The Commodore</i>	396
<i>Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Gonzalez</i>	399
2. Open and Obvious Dangers	403
<i>O'Sullivan v. Shaw</i>	403
3. Duty to Protect Invitees from Criminal Attack	407
a. Actual Awareness of Danger	407
<i>Gould v. Taco Bell</i>	407
b. Foreseeable Risk of Danger	411
<i>Delta Tau Delta v. Johnson</i>	411
E. Modern Rejection of Three Categories	415
<i>Nelson v. Freeland</i>	415
VII. Duty Based Upon Defendant's Status: Professionals	423
A. The Professional Standard of Care	424
1. Professional Custom	424
<i>Osborn v. Irwin Memorial Blood Bank</i>	424
<i>Hodges v. Carter</i>	427
2. Who Is a Professional?	432
<i>Rossell v. Volkswagen of America</i>	432
B. Informed Consent	436
<i>Scott v. Bradford</i>	437
C. Limitations on a Professional's Duty	441
<i>Barcelo, III v. Elliott</i>	442
7 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES	449
I. Introduction	449
II. Contributory Negligence and Comparative Fault	450
A. Contributory Negligence	450
<i>Butterfield v. Forrester</i>	451
<i>Harris v. Meadows</i>	451
B. The Comparative Fault Reform	454
1. The Decision to Switch to Comparative Fault	454
<i>McIntyre v. Balentine</i>	454
2. The Apportionment Problem	459
<i>Sandford v. Chevrolet</i>	460
3. Multiple Tortfeasors in Modified Comparative Fault	465
<i>Beaudoin v. Texaco, Inc.</i>	465

III. Assumption of the Risk	471
A. Express Assumption of the Risk	471
1. Public Policy Hurdle	471
<i>Tunkl v. Regents of the University of California</i>	471
2. The Drafting Hurdle	475
<i>Alack v. Vic Tanny International of Missouri, Inc.</i>	476
B. Secondary Implied Assumption of the Risk	482
1. Qualified Secondary Implied Assumption of the Risk	482
<i>Riddle v. Universal Sport Camp</i>	482
2. Unqualified Secondary Implied Assumption of the Risk	486
<i>Schroyer v. McNeal</i>	486
3. Does Comparative Fault Abolish Secondary Implied Assumption of the Risk?	491
<i>Davenport v. Cotton Hope Plantation Horizontal Property Regime</i>	491
IV. Immunities	496
A. Sovereign Immunity	497
1. The Federal Government	497
<i>Cestonaro v. United States</i>	497
2. State and Local Governments	502
<i>Campbell v. Indiana</i>	503
B. Spousal and Parental Immunity	507
1. Spousal Immunity	507
<i>Price v. Price</i>	507
2. Parental Immunity	511
<i>Sandoval v. Sandoval</i>	511
V. Statutes of Limitation and Repose	516
A. Statutes of Limitation	516
1. Accrual of a Claim	516
<i>Crumpton v. Humana, Inc.</i>	516
2. The Legal Injury Rule and the Discovery Rule Exception	518
<i>S.V. v. R.V.</i>	518
B. Statutes of Repose	523
<i>Kern v. St. Joseph Hospital</i>	524
8 DAMAGES	531
I. Introduction	531
II. Actual, Compensatory Damages	532
A. Special (Economic) Damages	532
<i>Martin v. United States</i>	533
B. General (Noneconomic) Damages	540

1. Review of Jury's Award	541
<i>Miraglia v. H&L Holding Corp.</i>	541
2. Per Diem Awards	546
<i>Beagle v. Vasold</i>	547
3. Day in the Life Videos	553
<i>Donnellan v. First Student, Inc.</i>	553
4. Hedonic Damages	558
<i>McDougald v. Garber</i>	559
C. Wrongful Death and Survival Claims	563
<i>Jordan v. Baptist Three Rivers Hospital</i>	563
D. Property Damages	569
<i>Strickland v. Medlen</i>	570
III. Limitations on Actual Damages	577
A. Failure to Mitigate Damages	577
1. Failure to Mitigate as "Fault"	578
<i>Miller v. Eichhorn</i>	578
2. Failure to Mitigate as a Damage Consideration	579
<i>Klanseck v. Anderson Sales & Service, Inc.</i>	579
3. Seat-Belt Defense	582
<i>Nabors Well Services v. Romero</i>	582
B. Collateral Source Rule	590
<i>Helpend v. Southern California Rapid Transit District</i>	590
C. Statutory Limits	595
1. Examples of Statutory Limits	596
California Civ. Code §333.2	596
Maryland Code Ann., Ct. & Jud. Proc. §11-108	596
Texas Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code §74.301	596
Texas Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code §74.303	597
2. Constitutionality of Limits on Actual Damages	597
<i>Samsel v. Wheeler Transport Services, Inc.</i>	598
<i>Knowles v. United States</i>	600
IV. Nominal Damages	605
<i>Longenecker v. Zimmerman</i>	605
V. Punitive Damages	608
A. When Civil Punishment Is Permitted	610
1. Malicious Conduct	610
<i>Shugar v. Guill</i>	610
2. Corporate Liability for Reckless Conduct	614
<i>Mobil v. Ellender</i>	614
B. The Amount of Punitive Damages	616
1. Due Process Limits	617
<i>State Farm Mutual v. Campbell</i>	617
2. Statutory Limits	624

9	APPORTIONMENT	627
I.	Introduction	627
II.	Joint and Several Liability	629
	<i>Sitzes v. Anchor Motor Freight, Inc.</i>	630
III.	Several Liability	635
A.	Rejection of Joint and Several Liability in Favor of Several Liability	635
	<i>McIntyre v. Balentine</i>	636
B.	Threshold Level Joint and Several Liability Statutes	639
	New Jersey Stat. §2A:15-53	639
	Texas Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code §33.013	639
	Hawaii Rev. Stat. §663-10.9	639
C.	Apportionment with Absent or Immune Actors	641
	<i>Sullivan v. Scoular Grain Co.</i>	641
D.	Negligent Enabling	647
	<i>Turner v. Jordan, M.D.</i>	647
IV.	Equitable Doctrines Creating Joint Liability	654
A.	Introduction	654
B.	Vicarious Liability	654
	<i>Trahan-Laroche v. Lockheed Sanders, Inc.</i>	655
1.	Employees v. Independent Contractors	659
	<i>Throop v. F.E. Young & Co.</i>	659
2.	Course and Scope of Employment	663
	<i>Fruit v. Equitable Life Assurance Society</i>	664
C.	Concert of Action	669
1.	Conduct Creating Joint Liability	670
	<i>Herman v. Wesgate</i>	670
	<i>Shinn v. Allen</i>	671
	<i>Kubert v. Best</i>	675
2.	Extent of Liability for Acting in Concert	679
	<i>American Family Mut. Ins. Co. v. Grim</i>	679
10	STRICT LIABILITY	685
I.	Introduction	685
II.	Unusually Dangerous Activities	686
	<i>Exner v. Sherman Power Const. Co.</i>	687
III.	Wild and Trespassing Animals	692
	<i>Byram v. Main</i>	692
	<i>Clark v. Brings</i>	695

11	PRODUCTS LIABILITY	703
I.	Introduction	703
II.	Historical Development and Adoption	704
A.	Limitations on Duty	704
	<i>MacPherson v. Buick Motor Company</i>	705
B.	Rejection of Fault or Contract Breach as Prerequisites to Recovery	709
	<i>Escola v. Coca Cola Bottling Co. of Fresno</i>	710
C.	Restatement (Second) §402A (1965)	715
D.	Strict Liability Is for Product Sellers	716
	<i>Amazon.com, Inc. v. McMillan</i>	717
III.	Defects	726
A.	Manufacturing	726
	<i>American Tobacco Co. v. Grinnell</i>	727
B.	Design Defects	731
1.	The Consumer Expectation Test	731
	<i>Sparks v. Owens-Illinois, Inc.</i>	732
2.	The Risk Utility Test	738
	<i>Dawson v. Chrysler Corp.</i>	738
3.	The Alternative Feasible Design Requirement	746
	<i>American Tobacco Co. v. Grinnell</i>	746
4.	Comparing the Consumer Expectation and Risk Utility Tests	749
	<i>Denny v. Ford Motor Co.</i>	749
C.	Marketing Defects	755
1.	The Duty to Warn	756
	<i>Richter v. Limax International</i>	756
2.	Common Knowledge Exception	763
	<i>American Tobacco Co. v. Grinnell</i>	763
IV.	Defenses Arising Out of Plaintiff's Misconduct	770
A.	Is Negligence a Defense to Strict Liability?	771
	<i>Bowling v. Heil Co.</i>	771
	<i>Daly v. General Motors Corp.</i>	775
B.	When, and How, Does Product Misuse Provide a Defense?	780
	<i>Daniell v. Ford Motor Co.</i>	780
	<i>Hernandez v. Tokai Corp.</i>	783
12	DEFAMATION	789
I.	Introduction	789
II.	Elements of Claim	790
A.	False and Defamatory Statements	790

1. Early Application	790
<i>Burton v. Crowell Pub. Co.</i>	790
2. Modern Application	793
<i>Busch v. Viacom International</i>	793
<i>Henderson v. Henderson</i>	797
B. Libel vs. Slander	801
<i>Agriss v. Roadway Express, Inc.</i>	802
III. Privileges	810
A. Common Law	811
<i>Johnson v. Queenan</i>	811
<i>Lester v. Powers</i>	814
Florida Stat. §768.095	819
Louisiana Rev. Stat. §14.50	819
Texas Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code §73.002	819
Texas Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code §73.004	820
Federal Communications Decency Act 47 U.S.C.A. §230(c)	820
Wisconsin Stat. Ann. §895.05	820
B. Constitutional Privilege	822
1. Speech About Public Officials, Public Figures, and Public Matters	823
<i>Khawar v. Globe International, Inc.</i>	823
2. Private Matters	833
<i>Dun & Bradstreet, Inc. v. Greenmoss Builders, Inc.</i>	833
13 BUSINESS TORTS	839
I. Introduction	839
II. Fraud	840
A. Misrepresentations	840
1. Existing Facts or Opinions Based Upon Facts	841
<i>Trenholm v. Ratcliff</i>	841
2. Affirmative Acts of Concealment	846
<i>Lindberg Cadillac Co. v. Aron</i>	846
3. Promissory Fraud	851
<i>Smehlik v. Athletes and Artists, Inc.</i>	851
B. Justifiable Reliance	856
<i>Judd v. Walker</i>	856
III. Negligent Misrepresentation	863
A. Introduction	863
<i>Staggs v. Sells</i>	863
B. Duty Revisited	868
<i>Bily v. Arthur Young & Co.</i>	869

IV. Tortious Interference with Contract	880
A. Rejection of Negligent Interference	880
<i>State of Louisiana v. M/V Testbank</i>	880
B. Intentional Interference with Contract	890
<i>Lumley v. Gye</i>	890
C. Interference with Prospective Contractual Relations	894
<i>Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Sturges, III</i>	895
V. Distinguishing Tort vs. Contract Claims	906
<i>Southwestern Bell Telephone v. DeLanney</i>	906
<i>Table of Cases</i>	<i>911</i>
<i>Index</i>	<i>919</i>